UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

United States of America,)	Case No. 1 9-0036 EJD
	<i>Plaintiff</i> , v.))	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
	Romayor)	AND WAIVER UNDER FRCP 5.1
	Defendant(s).)	
Trial Act from	s stated by the parties on the rec	ublic and th	and finds that the ends of justice served by the ne defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § is continuance on the following factor(s):
$\overline{\chi}$	Failure to grant a continuance see 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)		tely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
	The case is so unusual or so complex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of defendants, the nature of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact or law, that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial itself within the time limits established by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).		
	Failure to grant a continuance taking into account the exercis	would deny e of due dili	the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, gence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
	Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given counsel's other scheduled case commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).		
\overline{X}	Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).		
	With the consent of the defendant, and taking into account the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases, the court sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first paragraph and — based on the parties' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending the time limits for a preliminary hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for extending the 30-day time period for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the exclusions set forth above). See Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).		
IT IS	SO ORDERED.		
DATE	ED: January 24, 20	19	Virginia K. DeMarchi United States Magistrate Judge
STIP	ULATED: Lulu H. Attorney for Defend	ant	Assistant United States Attorney